

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the	MAR 1 5 2024
E	astern District of California	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUI EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO
United States of America)	DEPUTY CLERK
v.)	
IOCE HIAN CORONA) Case No. 2:2	24-mj-00025-CKD-1
JOSE JUAN CORONA, Defendant)	
•	DETENTION PENDING	TDIAI
		TRIAL
rai	t I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the		
x Motion of the Government attor	ney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 314	·2(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or C		
the Court held a detention hearing and found that	-	
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C	. § 3142(i), in addition to any of	ther findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fa	ct and Law as to Presumption	s under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Und	er 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previo	ous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combina		y assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following		
(1) the defendant is charged with o	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
	ation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an	at of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	naximum sentence is life imprise	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (2	•	olled Substances Import and Export Act
		ore offenses described in subparagraphs
described in subparagraphs (a		l offenses that would have been offenses f a circumstance giving rise to Federal
(e) any felony that is not othe	rwise a crime of violence but in	volves:
	session of a firearm or destruction; or (iv) a failure to register u	ve device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously be		
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local of to Federal jurisdiction had existed;		ch an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragra		
committed while the defendant was		
(4) a period of not more than five y	ears has elapsed since the date of	of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case 2:24-mj-00025-CKD Document 11 Filed 03/15/24 Page 2 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses: x (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
x C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
x The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
x Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case 2:24-mj-00025-CKD Document 11 Filed 03/15/24 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
X	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: March 15, 2024

DEBORAH BARNES

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE